

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/016,641

Applicant(s)

Gately

Examiner

Jean F. Vollano

Group Art Unit

1621



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jean F. Vollano

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Edward Irons

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 24, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The amendment sent in did not comply with the agreed cancellation of the all the nitrogen claims and has not been entered. The examiner tried to expedite prosecution by an offer to rejoin some claims with an agreement to withdraw the petition and thus avoiding a third petition request. The examiner notes that the prosecution is closed and the claims will be entered only if the amendment places the application in condition for allowance. The previously elected process claims contain amine compounds being prepared. If applicant wants the nitrogen claims the examiner would entertain rejoining the claims to the nitrogen species. However, if they are not allowable, prosecution would not be reopened. This was offered completely as a courtesy to applicant. The examiner has already examined the process twice and will not start all over completely by reopening prosecution for non allowable claims. Applicant does not want to withdraw the petition and it will be sent forth. If the petition is not withdrawn then the offer is moot.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.